AUSTRALIAN UNIVERSITY OF THEOLOGY

HDR Examination and Ranking Form (DMin)

Candidate's Information

Candidate's Name :

Title of Thesis :

Length of Thesis :

Name of Examiner :

Authorship and Research Integrity

Do you have any concerns about authorship, plagiarism, unattributed AI-generated content, or research integrity in this thesis? If yes, please elaborate.

☐ Yes ☐ No

To qualify for the award, the thesis should demonstrate the following properties:

1. An awareness of directly relevant primary and scholarly literature on the subject as well as related areas of significance

☐ Yes ☐ No

Please provide further information here:

2. The candidate's ability to develop, adapt, integrate, and implement research methodologies to extend and redefine existing professional practice ☐ Yes ☐ No

Please provide further information here:

3. Critical reflection, synthesis, and evaluation of the body of knowledge related to the specialised aspects of Christian ministry covered in the thesis ☐ Yes ☐ No

Please provide further information here:

4. An extended and rigorous argument ☐ Yes ☐ No

Please provide further information here:

5. Original knowledge that makes a substantial contribution to the professional practice of specialised aspects of Christian ministry

☐ Yes ☐ No

Please provide further information here:

6. Academic quality such that sections (or the whole) could be published by a world standard academic publisher, either as individual journal articles or as a book

☐ Yes ☐ No

Please provide further information here:

Additional Comments:

Attach additional pages if desired, e.g. list of typographical and grammatical errors, marked-up thesis.

After considered examination of the thesis, my recommendation is:

1. Award ☐

The thesis meets all required standards in terms of the nature and quality of work undertaken, and the degree can be awarded without any further work by the candidate, other than the correction of typographical errors and small lapses of expression and presentation in the final copy.

2. Award (after corrections) ☐

The thesis meets all required standards in terms of the nature and quality of work undertaken, and the degree can be awarded without further examination once the candidate has made a number of corrections and clarifications in the thesis.

3. Revision and Re-examination ☐

The thesis does not yet meet all required standards for the award of the degree and the candidate should complete a further period of research and writing. Normally, under this category, a candidate would re-enrol for a period of up to one year full-time (or equivalent). The thesis will then be submitted for re-examination.

4. Not award ☐

The thesis does not meet the required standards for the award of the degree and does not warrant a further period of research and writing.

Thesis Ranking

1. Please indicate how you would classify the quality of the research presented in this thesis. (Do not answer this question if your overall assessment of the thesis was "Not award.")

- ☐ **Excellent** – I rank this thesis in the top 10% of theses I have assessed
- ☐ **Very Good** – I rank this thesis in the top 25% of theses I have assessed
- ☐ **Good** – I rank this thesis in the top 50% of theses I have assessed
- ☐ **Satisfactory**

2. Please indicate the number of theses you have assessed (not including this thesis):
Theses assessed

☐ 0-5 ☐ 6-10 ☐ 11-20

☐ 21-50 ☐ 50+

3. Please indicate the number of candidates you have supervised to completion at or above the level of this award:

Completed candidates

☐ 0-5 ☐ 6-10 ☐ 11-20

☐ 21-50 ☐ 50+

Please Note: The names of the examiners will be included in the final bound copy of the thesis, along with the candidate's supervisors and affiliated college. However, the name of an examiner who recommended that the degree be revised and resubmitted or not awarded will not be included.

Examiner's Signature: Date:

Please return completed form to: research@aut.edu.au