

HDR Examination and Ranking Form DMin

Candidate's Information

Candidate's Name	:					
Title of Thesis	:					
Length of Thesis	:					
Name of Examiner	:					
To qualify for the award, the thesis should demonstrate the following properties:						
An awareness of directly well as related areas of significant Please provide further information.		re on the su Yes	bject as No			
-	to develop, adapt, integrate, and implen and redefine existing professional pract mation here:		ch No			
specialised aspects of Ch	nesis, and evaluation of the body of known nristian ministry covered in the thesis	rledge relat Yes	ed to the			
Please provide further inforr	mation nere:		_			

4. An extended and rigorous argument	Yes	No			
Please provide further information here:	165	NO			
5. Original knowledge that makes a substantial contribution to the	professiono	lk			
practice of specialised aspects of Christian ministry	Yes	No			
Please provide further information here:					
6. Academic quality such that sections (or the whole) could be published by a world standard academic publisher, either as individual journal articles or as a book					
	Yes	No			
Please provide further information here:					
Additional Comments:					

Attach additional pages if desired, e.g. list of typographical and grammatical errors, marked-up thesis.

After considered examination of the thesis, my recommendation is:

1. Award

The thesis meets all required standards in terms of the nature and quality of work undertaken, and the degree can be awarded without any further work by the candidate, other than the correction of typographical errors and small lapses of expression and presentation in the final copy.

2. Award (after corrections)

The thesis meets all required standards in terms of the nature and quality of work undertaken, and the degree can be awarded without further examination once the candidate has made a number of corrections and clarifications in the thesis.

3. Revision and Re-examination

The thesis does not yet meet all required standards for the award of the degree and the candidate should complete a further period of research and writing. Normally, under this category, a candidate would re-enrol for a period of up to one year full-time (or equivalent). The thesis will then be submitted for re-examination.

4. Not award

The thesis does not meet the required standards for the award of the degree and does not warrant a further period of research and writing.

Thesis Ranking

_	•	he quality of the research present I assessment of the thesis was "N				
	Excellent – I rank this thesis in the top 10% of theses I have assessed					
	Very Good – I rank this thesis in the top 25% of theses I have assessed					
	Good – I rank this thesis in the top 50% of theses I have assessed					
	Satisfactory					
2. Please i	ndicate the number of theses yo	u have assessed (not including th	nis thesis):			
Theses as	sessed					
	0-5	5-10	11-20			
	21-50	50+				
3. Please indicate the number of candidates you have supervised to completion at or above the level of this award:						
Complete	d candidates					
	0-5	5-10	11-20			
	21-50	50+				
Please Note: The names of the examiners will be included in the final bound copy of the project, along with the candidate's supervisors and affiliated college. However, the name of an examiner who recommended that the degree be revised and resubmitted or not awarded will not be included. Examiner's Signature: Date:						

Please return completed form to: research@actheology.edu.au